

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
6 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
7

8 SANDRA VERMA,

No. C 08-2702 SI

9 Plaintiff,

**ORDER RE: ORAL ARGUMENT**

10 v.

11 AMERICAN EXPRESS and DOES 1-25,

12 Defendants.  
13 \_\_\_\_\_/


14 The Court directs the parties to be prepared to address the following questions at oral argument  
15 on August 7, 2009:

16 1. Are *Richards v. CH2M Hill, Inc.*, 26 Cal. 4th 798, 816 (2001) and *Yanowitz v. L'Oreal USA,*  
17 *Inc.*, 36 Cal. 4th 1028, 1057 (2005) applicable to the statute of limitations issue in this case?

18 2. If so, are there disputed issues of fact as to whether defendant's purportedly unlawful actions  
19 are (1) sufficiently similar in kind, (2) have occurred with reasonable frequency, and (3) have not  
20 acquired a degree of permanence?  
21

22 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

23  
24 Dated: August 6, 2009

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
SUSAN ILLSTON  
United States District Judge